

COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY
Agenda Information/Action Item
Meeting Date: June 5, 2015

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<u>REVIEW OF FY16-FY18 INDIVIDUAL COUNTY AUTOMATION PLAN UPDATES</u> - Review, Discuss, Approve/Table/Reject	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other

FROM:

Mr. Stewart Bruner, AOC ITD Strategic Planning Manager

SUMMARY:

The Arizona Code of Judicial Administration specifies that COT reviews and approves countywide and appellate courts' information technology strategic plans.

Stewart will present updated Information Technology Strategic Plans for FY2016-2018 for approval, following COT's direction to obtain plans from half of the rural counties each year, along with Maricopa and Pima. Full plan updates submitted by nine counties appear on the Strategic Planning website at

<http://www.azcourts.gov/cot/StrategicPlans.aspx> in draft form and are not provided in members' packets due to length. Summaries of the plans were posted on the meeting materials web page for members to review. Stewart will present an analysis of overall business and technology trends gleaned from the plans submitted as well as key accomplishments and initiatives for each county highlighted with any issues for discussion. Following a recap of the lessons learned from the "lite" update process in Maricopa and Pima this year, members will also be asked to decide the appropriate detail for next year's updates from the urban counties.

Presiding judges will be notified of COT's decision and any concerns in writing following the meeting. Stewart will also take appropriate action to prepare materials for the next planning cycle, based on members' direction.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will recommend specific items for each of the individual plans as part of the presentation. As part of the approval process, members may direct staff to 1) make no mention of any issue raised in the approval letter, 2) mention a specific concern in the approval letter, or 3) request corrective action regarding the issue in the approval letter

or before further consideration will be given to the plan.

Staff recommends continuation of the bi-annual frequency of updates for rural counties with annual updates for metropolitan counties. Staff also recommends full updates of urban non-ACAP court plans next year, but with a reduction in project detail required.

ACTION OPTIONS:

A SEPARATE MOTION/RECOMMENDATION WILL BE STATED FOR EACH OF THE NINE INDIVIDUAL PLANS:

1. Approve the _____ County Courts' FY2016-2018 Information Technology Strategic Plan as presented.
2. Approve the _____ County Courts' FY2016-2018 Information Technology Strategic Plan with any specific issue(s) documented or corrective action stipulated.
3. Withhold approval for the _____ County Courts' FY2016-2018 Information Technology Strategic Plan as presented and any projects detailed therein.
4. Table the consideration of _____ County Courts' FY2016-2018 Information Technology Strategic Plan until a later point in time.

STAFF WILL THEN PROPOSE SOME STRATEGIES FOR HANDLING URBAN COUNTY PLANS IN THE NEXT DEVELOPMENT CYCLE:

1. Approve staff's proposal for urban county plans in the FY 2017–2019 development cycle.
2. Approve staff's proposal for urban county plans in the FY 2017–2019 development cycle, but with changes, as documented.
3. Return to previous strategy for handling urban county plans for the FY 2017–2019 development cycle.
4. Table consideration of the urban county planning cycle for FY 2017–2019 for a later time.